arXiv:1703.05854v1 [math.CT] 17 Mar 2017

Adjoint Objects and Parametric Adjunctions through a 2-adjunction of the type Adj-Mnd

Adrián Vázquez-Márquez*

University of the Incarnate Word

Abstract

In this article we analyse morphisms of homogeneous and mixed distributive laws as well as liftings of parametric adjunctions with a 2-adjunction of the type Adj-Mnd. In order to do so, we check the definition of adjoint objects in the 2-category of adjunctions and in the 2-category of monads for Cat. We finalise the article with the application of the obtained results on current categorical characterization of Hopf Monads.

In memory of Lecter and Cosmo

Introduction

This article belong to a series where 2-adjunctions of the type *Adj-Mnd* are applied to *classical* monad theory, in this installment we analyse the morphisms of homogeneous and mixed distributive laws, adjoint objects and parametric adjunctions within this context.

On the last subject, that of parametric adjunctions, this article is mainly based upon the ideas laid out in the seminal article of A. Bruguières, S. Lack and A. Virelizier [2]. In the refered article, the authors characterise Hopf monads and adjunctions through the liftings of closed monoidal structures.

In this article, we retake basically the same approach but, in order to apply the 2-adjunction Adj-Mnd, we developed the ideas into a 2-categorical framework, cf. [6]. The 2-categorical revision is far from finish since there are more structure to explore, with the 2-adjunction, on the subject of parametric adjunctions.

Without any further ado, we give the structure of the article.

*Contact: avazquez@uiwbajio.mx

In chapter 1, we describe the 2-adjunction that it is needed for the development of the article.

In chapter 2, we analyse the morphisms of homogeneous and mixed distributive laws objects in both 2-categories $Adj_{R}(Cat)$ and $Mnd(Cat)$.

In section 3, we characterise the adjoint objects as much in $Adj_{R}(Cat)$ as in $Mnd(Cat)$.

In chapter 4, we check on the liftings for parametric adjunction.

In section 5, we apply the results back on Hopf monads.

In section 6, we finalise with some comments and future work on the same lines.

As far as notation and conventions go, we use $\eta^{\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{L}}$ and $\varepsilon^{\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{R}}$, for the unit and the counit of an adjunction $\mathfrak{L} \dashv \mathfrak{R}$. This notation might be complicated but refrain one from using, and *a posteriori* very needed, finite greek letters for each new unit and counit. Nevertheless, we simplify this notation whenever is possible. For example, if the adjunction comes from a free-forgetful case, *i.e.* $F^{S} \dashv U^{S}$, the counit can be written as ε^{UFS} or η^{GFP} when we talk about a parametric adjunction $F_{P} \dashv G_{P}$.

For the case, of the usual, category Cat, of small categories and functors, the notation $\mathfrak{C}$ will be used every now and then, specially when the notation becomes very thick.

We will denoted the 2-category $Mnd(\mathfrak{C}^{op})$ as $Mnd^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{C})$, which is related to Kleili objects once the formal context comes into play.

We use the notation 1_{*} for a case like the following: $1_{\mathcal{C}^{S}}$. We take the direction of an adjunction $L \dashv R$ as the direction of its left adjoint functor L. The final convention is on equations, whenever it is used the naturality of a transformation, this will go unnoted in order to shorten the explanations.

1 The 2-category context

1.1 The 2-category $Adj_{R}(\mathfrak{C})$

The 2-category context needed for this article is the following

$$\Phi_{E}^{\mathfrak{M}} \dashv \Psi_{E}^{\mathfrak{M}} : Adj_{R}(Cat) \longrightarrow Mnd(Cat)$$

the subindex E refers to the Eilenberg-Moore objects related to this 2-adjunction and the superindex $\mathfrak{M}$ refers to the monad case. Whenever possible, one or both indexes will be dropped.

The n-cells for the domain 2-category $Adj_{R}(Cat)$ are the following.

- The 0-cells are adjunctions $L \dashv R$.
- The 1-cells are of the form $(J, K,\ \lambda^{JK},\ \rho^{JK})$



where



are mates and such that ρ^{JK} is an identity. Because of the previous, the notation can be shorten to (J, K, λ^{JK}) or even to $(J, K) : L \dashv R \longrightarrow \overline{L} \dashv \overline{R}$, where the left mate is understood. Since we are considering mates where the one corresponding to the right adjoints is the identity, the 2-category will be denoted as $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$. This restriction can be changed to an isomorphism [5].

- The 2-cells are comprissed of a pair of natural transformations (α, β) where $\alpha : J \longrightarrow J'$ and $\beta : K \longrightarrow K'$ such that one of the following equivalent requirements is fulfilled

  i) $\beta L \circ \lambda^{JK} = \lambda^{J'K'} \circ \overline{L}\alpha$

  ii) $\overline{R}\beta = \alpha R$

1.2 The 2-category $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$

- The 0-cells are monads

$$(\mathcal{C}, S, \mu^S, \eta^S)$$

where the short notation is $(\mathcal{C}, S)$.

- The 1-cells are pairs of the form $(J, \psi^J) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$



where this natural transformation fulfills the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^J \circ \mu^T J &= J\mu^S \circ \psi^J S \circ T\psi^J \\ \psi^J \circ \eta^T J &= J\eta^S \end{aligned}$$

these might be refered to as the compatibility, with the product and the unit of the monads, conditions.

- The 2-cells $\theta : (P, \psi^P) \longrightarrow (Q, \psi^Q)$ are just natural transformations $\theta : P \longrightarrow Q : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that the following diagram commutes



1.3 The 2-functor $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}$

The 2-functor $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} : Adj_R(\mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ is defined on n-cells as follows

i) For the 0-cell, $L \dashv R : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(L \dashv R) = (\mathcal{C}, RL, R\varepsilon^{LR}L, \eta^{RL})$. That is to say, the monad induced on the domain of the adjunction.

ii) For the 1-cell, $(J, K, \lambda^{JK}) : L \dashv R \longrightarrow \overline{L} \dashv \overline{R}$,

$$\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(J, K, \lambda^{JK}) = (J, \overline{R}\lambda^{JK}) : (\mathcal{C}, RL) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \overline{RL})$$

iii) For the 2-cell, (α, β), $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha$

1.4 The 2-functor $\Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}$

The 2-functor $\Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} : Mnd(\mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ can be constructed if the initial 2-category *admits the construction of algebras* [10], which is certainly the case for Cat. It is defined on n-cells as follows

i) For $(\mathcal{C}, S)$, $\Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{C}, S) = F^S \dashv U^S : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^S$. The category $\mathcal{C}^S$ is the Eilenberg-Moore category for the monad S, on $\mathcal{C}$, and the adjunction is the free-forgetful adjunction.

ii) For $(P, \psi^P) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$, $\Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(P, \psi^P) = (P, \widehat{P})$ as in



where the functor is defined as

$$\widehat{P}(M, k_M) = \big(PU^S(M, k_M), PU^S\varepsilon^{UFS}(M, k_M) \cdot \psi^P U^S(M, k_M)\big) \tag{1}$$

for (M, k_M) in $\mathcal{C}^S$. On morphisms, $\widehat{P}(\overline{m}) = \overline{Pm}$. Another notation would be $\mathcal{L}_\psi(P) := \widehat{P}$, where we are considering that $\mathcal{L}$ stands for *lifting*. Also, the notation P^ψ is particularly useful. The bar over the morphism m means that, although m is in $\mathcal{C}$, it fulfills an additional requirement for algebras. For example, in $U^S(\overline{m}) = m$, this requirement is forgotten.

iii) For $\theta : (P, \psi^P) \longrightarrow (Q, \psi^Q)$, $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(\theta) = (\theta, \widehat{\theta})$, where $\widehat{\theta} : \widehat{P} \longrightarrow \widehat{Q} : \mathcal{C}^S \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^T$ and whose component, at (M, k_M) in $\mathcal{C}^S$, is

$$\widehat{\theta}(M, k_M) = \overline{\theta M}$$

1.5 The 2-adjunction $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} \dashv \Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}$

The 2-adjunction is given through the following unit and counit.

i) The component of the unit $\eta^{\Psi\Phi} : 1_{Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})} \longrightarrow \Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}$, on the 0-cell $L \dashv R$, is given by

$$\eta^{\Psi\Phi}(L \dashv R) := (1_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathfrak{K}_{\mathcal{D}}^{RL}) = L \dashv R \longrightarrow F^{RL} \dashv U^{RL}$$

where $\mathfrak{K}_{\mathcal{D}}^{RL} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{RL}$ is the comparison functor. The notation for this functor tries to codify as much its domain as its codomain.

ii) The component of the counit $\varepsilon^{\Phi\Psi} : \Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}\Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} \longrightarrow 1_{Mnd(\mathfrak{C})}$, on the 0-cell $(\mathcal{C}, S)$ is

$$\varepsilon^{\Phi\Psi}(\mathcal{C}, S) := (1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_S) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}, S)$$

The definition of $\widehat{P}$, in (1), suggests the following bijection.

Consider a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ of the form $(P, \psi^P) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$ therefore

$$(PU^S,\ PU^S\varepsilon^{FUS} \circ \psi^P U^S) : (\mathcal{C}^S, 1_{\mathcal{C}^S}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T) \tag{2}$$

is also a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. Likewise, for a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ of the form $(PU^S, \kappa) : (\mathcal{C}^S, 1_{\mathcal{C}^S}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$. The following is a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$

$$(P, \kappa F^S \circ TP\eta^{UFS}) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)\,.$$

Proposition 1.5.1 *There is a bijection between the following natural transformations*

i) $\psi^P : TP \longrightarrow PS$.

ii) $\kappa^P : TPU^S \longrightarrow PU^S$.

If any of these natural transformations are part of a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ *then the other one is also a 1-cell, as above. Furthermore,*

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi(P) = \mathcal{L}_\kappa(PU^S)$$

the previous equality does not depend on which natural transformation is given first but they certainly have to be related under the bijection.

Proof:

We are going to prove only the final equation for one direction only. Let $(PU^S, \kappa) : (\mathcal{C}^S, 1_*) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$ be a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. According to (1), for $[(M, k_M), \overline{1}_M]$ in $(\mathcal{P}^E)^{1_*}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\kappa(PU^S)[(M, k_M), \overline{1}_M] &= (PU^SU^{1_*}[(M, k_M), \overline{1}_M], PU^SU^{1_*}\varepsilon^{FU1_*}[(M, k_M), \overline{1}_M] \cdot \kappa^P U^{1_*}[(M, k_M), \overline{1}_M]) \\ &= (PM, \kappa^P(M, k_M))\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for this κ^P, we have a natural transformation ψ^P and *a posteriori* 1-cell $(P, \kappa F^S \circ TP\eta^{UFS}) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$. Using the same equation for the lifting, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\psi(P)(M,k_M) &= (PU^S(M,k_M), PU^S\varepsilon^{FUS}(M,k_M)\cdot\kappa^P F^S U^S(M,k_M)\cdot TP\eta^{UFS}U^S(M,k_M))\\ &= (PU^S(M,k_M),\kappa^P(M,k_M)\cdot TPU^S\varepsilon^{UFS}(M,k_M)\cdot TP\eta^{UFS}U^S(M,k_M))\\ &= (PM,\kappa^P(M,k_M))\\ &= \mathcal{L}_\kappa(PU^S)[(M,k_M),\overline{1}_M]\end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we use the naturality of κ^P on the morphism $\varepsilon^{UFS}(M,k_M)$ and in third one, the triangular identity associated to U^S.

□

2 Morphisms for distributive laws

2.1 Homogeneous distributive laws

In this section, we define the morphisms of homogeneous distributive laws which corresponds to liftings to the Eilenberg-Moore category of the product monad.

Definition 2.1.1 *A* homogeneous distributive law *between the monads* $(\mathcal{C},S)$ *and* $(\mathcal{C},T)$ *in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ *is a natural transformation* $\lambda : ST \longrightarrow TS$ *such that fulfills the following equations*

$$\lambda\circ\mu^S T = T\mu^S\circ\lambda S\circ S\lambda \quad , \quad \lambda\circ\eta^S T = T\eta^S \quad ,$$

$$\lambda\circ S\mu^T = \mu^T S\circ T\lambda\circ\lambda T \quad , \quad \lambda\circ S\eta^T = \eta^T S \quad ,$$

such distributive law can be denoted as $(\mathcal{C},S,T,\lambda)$.

Given such a distributive law, there exists the following induced *product monad* $(\mathcal{C},TS)$ with μ^{TS} and η^{TS} given as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{TS} &:= (\mu^T * \mu^S)\circ T\lambda S\\ \eta^{TS} &:= \eta^T * \eta^S\end{aligned}$$

where $-*-$ is the Godement product of natural transformations, [1].

Definition 2.1.2 *A* morphism of homogeneous distributive laws*, between* $(\mathcal{C},S,T,\lambda)$ *and* $(\mathcal{D},S',T',\lambda')$*, is given by the following structure:*

A pair of 1-cells in $Mnd\,\mathfrak{C}$

$$\begin{aligned}(J,\sigma) &: (\mathcal{C},S)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{D},S')\\ (J,\tau) &: (\mathcal{C},T)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{D},T')\end{aligned}$$

such that τ fulfills the following Yang-Baxter equation

$$\tau S \circ T'\sigma \circ \lambda' J = J\lambda \circ \sigma T \circ S'\tau$$

This morphism can be denoted as $(J, \sigma, \tau) : (\mathcal{C}, S, T, \lambda) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, S', T', \lambda')$.

Once this definition has been stablished, we give the following pair of propositions.

Proposition 2.1.3 *Suppose that (J, σ, τ) is a morphism of distributive laws as above. The following three statements are equivalent:*

i) τ fulfills the Yang-Baxter equation

$$\tau S \circ T'\sigma \circ \lambda' J = J\lambda \circ \sigma T \circ S'\tau$$

ii) The following is a 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$

$$(J, \tau S \circ T'\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}, TS) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T'S')$$

iii) The following is a 2-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$

$$\tau : (T'J, T'\sigma \circ \lambda' J) \longrightarrow (JT, J\lambda \circ \sigma T) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, S')$$

Proof:

$i \Rightarrow ii$.

We start with the composition of natural transformations $\tau S \circ T'\sigma \circ \mu^{TS'} J$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau S \circ T'\sigma \circ \mu^{TS'} J &= J(\mu^T * \mu^S) \circ \tau TSS \circ T'(\tau S \circ T'\sigma \circ \lambda' J)S \circ T'S'T'\sigma \\ &= J(\mu^T * \mu^S) \circ JT\lambda S \circ (\tau S \circ T'\sigma)TS \circ T'S'(\tau S \circ T'\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

The first equality takes place since (J, σ) and (J, τ) are morphisms in $Mnd\,\mathfrak{C}$ and the second due to the Yang-Baxter equation.

$ii \Rightarrow i$.

The following equation takes place

$$\tau S \circ T'\sigma \circ \mu^{TS'} J = J(\mu^T * \mu^S) \circ JT\lambda S \circ (\tau S \circ T'\sigma)TS \circ T'S'(\tau S \circ T'\sigma)$$

which can be precomposed with the following natural transformation $\eta^{T'} S'T'\eta^{S'} J$. This composition gives, after some easy calculations, the Yang-Baxter equation.

$i \Longleftrightarrow iii$. Straightforward from the definition of a 2-cell in $Mnd\,\mathfrak{C}$

□

For the sake of further referencing, we make the following definition

$$\tau\sharp\sigma := \tau S \circ T'\sigma \tag{3}$$

Before continuing, we recall a Theorem from Jon Beck [1]. A homogeneous distributive law, $\lambda : ST \longrightarrow TS$, gives rise to a lifting of the form $TU^S = U^S\widehat{T}$, where $\widehat{T}$ is also given by (1).

The final proposition of this section is the following:

Proposition 2.1.4 *Suppose that (J, σ, τ) is a morphism of homogeneous distributive laws as above then there exists the following 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$*

$$(J^\sigma, \widetilde{\tau}) : (\mathcal{C}^S, \widehat{T}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}^{S'}, \widehat{T}')$$

Proof:

According to (1), the action of the functor J^σ, on algebras (M, k_M) in $\mathcal{C}^S$, is the following

$$J^\sigma(M, k_M) = (JM, Jk_M \cdot \sigma M)$$

also $\widehat{T}(M, k_M) = (TM, Tk_M \cdot \lambda M)$. Therefore, the natural transformation

$$\widetilde{\tau} : \widehat{T}'J^\sigma \longrightarrow J^\sigma\widehat{T} : \mathcal{C}^S \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{S'}$$

can be defined through the following equation

$$U^{S'}\widetilde{\tau} = \tau U^S$$

We left to the reader checking that the following equations take place

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\tau} \circ \mu^{\widehat{T}'} J^\sigma &= J\mu^{\widehat{T}} \circ \widetilde{\tau}\widehat{T} \circ \widehat{T}'\widetilde{\tau} \\ \widetilde{\tau} \circ \eta^{\widehat{T}'} J^\sigma &= J^\sigma \eta^{\widehat{T}} \end{aligned}$$

□

We know that $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(F^{\widehat{T}}F^S \dashv U^S U^{\widehat{T}}) = (\mathcal{C}, TS)$ and the unit of the 2-adjunction $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} \dashv \Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}$, on the component $F^{\widehat{T}}F^S \dashv U^S U^{\widehat{T}}$, is $(1_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathfrak{K}^{TS}_{S\widehat{T}})$, cf. [6]. Therefore, the following diagram commutes in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$



(4)

Even though we know that the bottom diagram commutes, it is worthwhile to check it with objects.

For a morphism of homogeneous distributive laws of the form $(J,\sigma,\tau):(\mathcal{C},S,T,\lambda)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{D},S',T',\lambda')$, the induced functor $(J^\sigma)^{\widetilde{\tau}}$, on objects $[(M,k^S_M),\overline{k}^T_M]$ in $(\mathcal{C}^S)^{\widehat{T}}$, goes as follows

$$(J^\sigma)^{\widetilde{\tau}}[(M,k^S_M),\overline{k}^T_M]=[J^\sigma(M,k^S_M),J^\sigma(\overline{k}^T_M)\cdot\widetilde{\tau}(M,k^S_M)]=[(JM,Jk^S_M\cdot\sigma M),\overline{Jk^T_M}\cdot\overline{\tau M}] \quad (5)$$

Likewise, the comparison functor $\mathfrak{K}^{TS}_{S\widehat{T}}:(\mathcal{C}^S)^{\widehat{T}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}^{TS}$ acts as follows

$$\mathfrak{K}^{TS}_{S\widehat{T}}[(M,k^S_M),\overline{k}^T_M]=(M,k^T_M\cdot Tk^S_M)$$

If $\tau\sharp\sigma=\tau S\circ T'\sigma$ then $J^{\tau\sharp\sigma}:\mathcal{C}^{TS}\longrightarrow\mathcal{D}^{T'S'}$ is defined on objects as

$$J^{\tau\sharp\sigma}(M,k^{TS}_M)=(JM,Jk^{TS}_M\cdot\tau SM\cdot T'\sigma M)$$

Therefore, the bottom diagram (4) commutes since each path is equal to $(JM,Jk^T_M\cdot JTk^S_M\cdot\tau SM\cdot T'\sigma M)$.

This situation with respect to the product monad, induced by a homogeneous distributive law, can be further analysed. This is due to the fact a homogeneous distributive law can be seen as 0-cell in $Mnd(Mnd(\mathfrak{C}))$, [3], [10].

Recall that an adjoint object in 2-Cat is a 2-adjunction and consider the 2-functor Mnd:2-Cat $\longrightarrow$2-Cat. Since 2-functors preserve adjoint objects, the 2-adjunction $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} \dashv \Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} : Adj_R(\mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ is preserved into

$$Mnd\,\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} \dashv Mnd\,\Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} : Mnd(Adj_R\,\mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow Mnd(Mnd\,\mathfrak{C})$$

Therefore, there exists the following isomorphism of categories, for each $\big(F^S \dashv U^S, (T, \widehat{T})\big)$ in $Mnd(Adj_R(\mathfrak{C}))$ and $\big((\mathcal{D}, S'), (T', \lambda')\big)$ in $Mnd(Mnd(\mathfrak{C}))$

$$\begin{array}{c} Hom_{Mnd(Adj_R\,\mathfrak{C})}\Big[\big(F^S \dashv U^S, (T, \widehat{T})\big) \quad , \quad \big(F^{S'} \dashv U^{S'}, (T', \widehat{T'})\big)\Big] \\ \cong \\ Hom_{Mnd(Mnd\,\mathfrak{C})}\Big[\big((\mathcal{C}, S), (T, \lambda)\big) \quad , \quad \big((\mathcal{D}, S'), (T', \lambda')\big)\Big] \end{array}$$

In particular, there is an bijection between the following morphisms





2.2 Mixed distributive laws

In the same way as before, we develop the morphisms for mixed distributive laws.

Definition 2.2.1 *Consider a monad $(\mathcal{C}, S)$ and a comonad $(\mathcal{C}, G)$, a mixed distributive law from the monad to the comonad, is a natural transformation $\lambda : SG \longrightarrow GS$, such that it fulfills the following equations*

$$\lambda \circ \mu^S G = G\mu^S \circ \lambda S \circ S\lambda \qquad , \qquad \lambda \circ \eta^S G = G\eta^S \qquad ,$$

and

$$G\lambda \circ \lambda G \circ S\delta^G = \delta^G S \circ \lambda \qquad , \qquad S\varepsilon^G = \varepsilon^G S \circ \lambda \qquad ,$$

such mixed distributive law can be denoted as $(\mathcal{C}, S, G, \lambda)$.

Note: There exists an induced comonad $(\widehat{G}, \delta^{\widehat{G}}, \varepsilon^{\widehat{G}})$ in the category $Hom_{Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})}\big(F^S \dashv U^S, F^S \dashv U^S\big)$, cf. [8], [11].

Definition 2.2.2 *A* morphism of mixed distributive laws*, between* $(\mathcal{C}, S, G, \lambda)$ *and* $(\mathcal{C}, S', G', \lambda')$*, is given by the following structure*

A pair of 1-cells

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (J, \sigma) & : & (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, S') \ \textit{in}\ Mnd\,\mathfrak{C} \\ (J, \gamma) & : & (\mathcal{C}, G) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, G') \ \textit{in}\ CoMnd\,\mathfrak{C} \end{array}$$

such that γ *fulfills the following Yang-Baxter equation*

$$\gamma S \circ J\lambda \circ \sigma G = G'\sigma \circ \lambda' J \circ S'\gamma$$

For a morphism of mixed distributive laws, we can use the following notation: (J, σ, γ).

In the same way as before, we can have a pair of propositions.

Proposition 2.2.3 *Suppose that* $(J, \sigma, \gamma\ )$ *is a morphism of mixed distributive laws. The following statements are equivalent:*

i) γ *fulfills a Yang-Baxter equation.*

ii) γ *is a 2-cell in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ *as follows*



iii) σ *fulfills a Yang-Baxter equation.*

iv) σ is a 2-cell in $CoMnd(\mathfrak{C})$ as follows



□

Proposition 2.2.4 *Suppose that (J, σ, γ) is a morphism of mixed distributive laws then there exists the following 1-cells*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (J^{\gamma}, \widetilde{\sigma}) & : & (\mathcal{C}^{G}, \widehat{S}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}^{G'}, \widehat{S}') \ \textit{in} \ Mnd(\mathfrak{C}) \\ (J^{\sigma}, \widetilde{\gamma}) & : & (\mathcal{C}^{S}, \widehat{T}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}^{S'}, \widehat{T}') \ \textit{in} \ CoMnd(\mathfrak{C}) \end{array}$$

□

Consider the morphism of mixed distributive laws $(J, \gamma, \sigma) : (\mathcal{C}, S, G, \lambda)$, therefore the induced functor $(J^{\gamma})^{\widetilde{\sigma}}$ on objects is

$$(J^{\gamma})^{\widetilde{\sigma}}\big((M, r_{M}), \overline{k}_{M}\big) = \big(J^{\gamma}(M, r_{M}), J^{\gamma}(\overline{k}_{M}) \cdot \widetilde{\sigma}(M, r_{M})\big) = \big((JM, \gamma_{M} \cdot Jr_{M}), \overline{Jk_{M} \cdot \sigma_{M}}\big) \quad (6)$$

In the same way as before, consider the 2-functor $Mnd\colon 2\text{-}Cat \longrightarrow 2\text{-}Cat$, over the 2-adjunction $\Phi_{E}^{\mathfrak{Cm}} \dashv \Psi_{E}^{\mathfrak{Cm}}$, cf.[11]. The superindex refers to comonads and E to the Eilenberg-Moore coalgebras. Therefore, we obtain the following 2-adjunction

$$Mnd(\Phi_{E}^{\mathfrak{Cm}}) \dashv Mnd(\Psi_{E}^{\mathfrak{Cm}}) : Mnd(Adj_{L}(\mathfrak{C})) \longrightarrow Mnd(CoMnd(\mathfrak{C}))$$

where $Adj_{L}(\mathfrak{C})$ refers to mates whose natural transformation $\lambda^{JK} : \overline{L}J \longrightarrow KL$ is the identity.

Therefore, there exists the following isomorphism of categories, for each $\big(U^{G'} \dashv F^{G'}, (\widehat{S}', \lambda')\big)$ in $Mnd(Adj_{L}(\mathfrak{C}))$ and $\big((\mathcal{C}, G), (S, \lambda)\big)$ in $Mnd(CoMnd(\mathfrak{C}))$.

$$\begin{array}{c} Hom_{Mnd(Adj_{L}\mathfrak{C})}\Big[\big(U^{G} \dashv F^{G}, (\widehat{S}, S)\big) \quad , \quad \big(U^{G'} \dashv F^{G'}, (\widehat{S}', S')\big)\Big] \\ \cong \\ Hom_{Mnd(CoMnd\,\mathfrak{C})}\Big[\big((\mathcal{C}, G), (S, \lambda)\big) \quad , \quad \big((\mathcal{D}, G'), (S', \lambda')\big)\Big] \end{array}$$

In particular, there is a bijection between the following morphisms





3 Adjoint Objects

In this section, we develop the definitions of *adjoint objects* as much in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ as in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$.

3.1 Adjoint Objects in $Adj_R(Cat)$

In this section, we give a characterization of adjoint objects in the 2-category $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$. These adjoint objects corresponds to the usual definition of an *adjoint object* in a general 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, nevertheless we give a complete account of this definition.

Definition 3.1.1 *An* adjoint object *in* $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ *is comprised of the following.*

1. *A pair of 1-cells*

$$\begin{aligned} (J, V, \lambda^{JV}) &: L \dashv R \longrightarrow \overline{L} \dashv \overline{R}\,, \\ (K, W, \lambda^{KW}) &: \overline{L} \dashv \overline{R} \longrightarrow L \dashv R\,. \end{aligned}$$

2. *A pair of 2-cells called* unit *and* counit*, respectively*

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta^{KJ}, \eta^{WV}) &: (1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{D}}) \longrightarrow (KJ, WV) \\ (\varepsilon^{JK}, \varepsilon^{VW}) &: (JK, VW) \longrightarrow (1_{\mathcal{X}}, 1_{\mathcal{Y}}) \end{aligned}$$

such that they fulfill the following triangular identities

$$\begin{aligned}(\varepsilon^{JK}J \circ J\eta^{KJ},\ \varepsilon^{VW}V \circ V\eta^{WV}) &= (1_J, 1_V)\\ (K\varepsilon^{JK} \circ \eta^{KJ}K,\ W\varepsilon^{VW} \circ \eta^{WV}W) &= (1_K, 1_W)\end{aligned}$$

Note: If we make $J = G$, $K = V$, $V = \widetilde{G}$, $W = \widetilde{V}$ and $L \dashv R = F^T \dashv U^T$, $\overline{L} \dashv \overline{R} = F^{T'} \dashv U^{T'}$, we recover the *lift of the adjunction* given in Example 3.12 in [2]. The pair of natural transformations (ζ, ξ) results equal to $(\overline{R}\lambda^{JV}, R\lambda^{KW})$, nevertheless the equations they fulfill are more related to the concept of an *adjoint object* in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$.

Similar to Theorem 3.13 in [2], we characterize this adjoint object by the existence of an inverse of a natural transformation.

Proposition 3.1.2 *Consider the following diagram in Cat, where $L \dashv R$ and $\overline{L} \dashv \overline{R}$,*



Consider $J \dashv K$, $V \dashv W$ as classical adjunctions and (J, V, λ^{JV}) a morphism in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$. The following assertions are equivalent:

i) The pair (K, W) can be extended to a morphism in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$, (K, W, λ^{KW}). In turn, the pair $J \dashv K$, $V \dashv W$ can be extended to an adjoint object in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$,

$$(J, V, \lambda^{JV}) \dashv (K, W, \lambda^{KW})$$

ii) λ^{JV} is invertible.

in such a case $\lambda^{KW} = \upsilon^{JV}$, where υ^{JV} is the mate of the inverse of λ^{JV}. The natural transformation λ^{KW} might be called adjoint *of λ^{JV}*

Note: The inverse of the natural transformation λ^{JV} will be denoted as $\overline{\lambda}^{JV}$. The mate of a natural transformation $\vartheta : \overline{L}F \longrightarrow GL$ might be denoted as

$$m|\tfrac{LR}{\overline{L}\overline{R}}(\vartheta) = \overline{R}G\varepsilon^{LR} \circ \overline{R}\vartheta R \circ \eta^{\overline{R}\overline{L}}FR : FR \longrightarrow \overline{R}G.$$

and the adjoint, if exists, of ϑ will be denoted as $ad(\vartheta)$.

Proof:

$i \Rightarrow ii$.

The proposed inverse is the following

$$\overline{\lambda}^{JV} = \varepsilon^{VW}\overline{L}J \circ V\lambda^{KW}J \circ VL\eta^{KJ} \tag{7}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lambda}^{JV} \circ \lambda^{JV} &= \varepsilon^{VW}\overline{L}J \circ V\lambda^{KW}J \circ VL\eta^{KJ} \circ \lambda^{JV} \\
&= \varepsilon^{VW}\overline{L}J \circ V\lambda^{KW}J \circ \lambda^{JV}KJ \circ \overline{L}J\eta^{KJ} \\
&= \overline{L}\varepsilon^{JK}J \circ \overline{L}J\eta^{KJ} = \overline{L}(\varepsilon^{JK}J \circ J\eta^{KJ}) = \overline{L}1_J = 1_{\overline{L}J}
\end{aligned}$$

In the third equality, we used the fact that $(\varepsilon^{JK}, \varepsilon^{VW})$ is a 2-cell in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$. In the fifth one, the triangular identity associated to J.

In a similar way, $\lambda^{JV} \circ \overline{\lambda}^{JV} = 1_{VL}$.

$ii \Rightarrow i$.

Supposing the existence of the inverse, the natural transformation λ^{KW} is defined as follows

$$\lambda^{KW} = W\overline{L}\varepsilon^{JK} \circ W\overline{\lambda}^{JV}K \circ \eta^{WV}LK$$

That is to say, $\lambda^{KW} = m|^{JK}_{VW}(\overline{\lambda}^{JV})$.

In order for (K, W, λ^{KW}) to be a morphism in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$, we need that the mate of λ^{KW} be an isomorphic natural transformation. Consider the mate of λ^{KW}

$$\rho^{KW} := RW\varepsilon^{\overline{LR}} \circ RW\overline{L}\varepsilon^{JK}\overline{R} \circ RW\overline{\lambda}^{JV}K\overline{R} \circ R\eta^{WV}LK\overline{R} \circ \eta^{RL}K\overline{R}$$

and its proposed inverse is

$$\overline{\rho}^{KW} := K\overline{R}\varepsilon^{VW} \circ K\overline{R}V\varepsilon^{LR}W \circ K\overline{R}\lambda^{JV}RW \circ K\eta^{\overline{RL}}JRW \circ \eta^{KJ}RW$$

We prove that $\rho^{KW} \circ \overline{\rho}^{KW} = 1_{RW}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho^{KW} \circ \overline{\rho}^{KW} = \\
&RW\varepsilon^{\overline{LR}} \circ RW\overline{L}\varepsilon^{JK}\overline{R} \circ RW\overline{\lambda}^{JV}K\overline{R} \circ R\eta^{WV}LK\overline{R} \circ \eta^{RL}K\overline{R} \circ K\overline{R}\varepsilon^{VW} \circ K\overline{R}V\varepsilon^{LR}W \circ \\
&\qquad \circ K\overline{R}\lambda^{JV}RW \circ K\eta^{\overline{RL}}JRW \circ \eta^{KJ}RW \\
&= RW\varepsilon^{VW} \circ RWV\varepsilon^{LR}W \circ RW\lambda^{JV}RW \circ RW\overline{L}\varepsilon^{JK}\overline{RL}JRW \circ RW\varepsilon^{\overline{LR}}\,\overline{L}JRW \\
&\qquad RW\overline{L}JK\eta^{\overline{RL}}JRW \circ RW\overline{L}J\eta^{KJ}RW \circ RW\overline{\lambda}^{JV}RW \circ R\eta^{WV}LRW \circ \eta^{RL}RW \\
&= \eta^{RL}RW \circ R\eta^{WV}LRW \circ RW\overline{\lambda}^{JV}RW \circ RW\lambda^{JV}RW \circ RWV\varepsilon^{LR}W \circ RW\varepsilon^{VW} \\
&= \eta^{RL}RW \circ R\eta^{WV}LRW \circ RWV\varepsilon^{LR}W \circ RW\varepsilon^{VW} \\
&= 1_{RW}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality, we used the triangular identity associated to $\overline{L}J$ of the composed adjunction $\overline{L}J \dashv K\overline{R}$. In the fifth, the triangular identity associated to RW.

In a similar fashion, it can be proved that $\overline{\rho}^{KW} \circ \rho^{KW} = 1_{K\overline{R}}$. Therefore, (K, W, λ^{KW}) is a morphism in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$.

Remains to prove that the pair $(\eta^{KJ}, \eta^{WV}) : (1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (KJ, WV, W\lambda^{JV} \circ \lambda^{KW}J) : L \dashv R \longrightarrow L \dashv R$ is a 2-cell in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$. We have to prove, in particular that,

$$W\lambda^{JV} \circ \lambda^{KW}J \circ L\eta^{KJ} = \eta^{WV}L$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\lambda^{JV} \circ \lambda^{KW}J \circ L\eta^{KJ} &= W\lambda^{JV} \circ W\overline{L}\varepsilon^{JK}J \circ W\overline{\lambda}^{JV}KJ \circ \eta^{WV}LKJ \circ L\eta^{KJ} \\
&= W\lambda^{JV} \circ W\overline{L}(\varepsilon^{JK}J \circ J\eta^{KJ}) \circ W\overline{\lambda}^{JV} \circ \eta^{WV}L \\
&= W\lambda^{JV} \circ W\overline{\lambda}^{JV} \circ \eta^{WV}L = \eta^{WV}L
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third equality, we used the triangular identity, of $J \dashv K$, associated to J.

That the pair $(\varepsilon^{JK},\ \varepsilon^{VW}) : (JK, VW, V\lambda^{KW} \circ \lambda^{JV}K) : L \dashv R \longrightarrow L \dashv R$ is a 2-cell in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ is proved similarly. Finally, the triangular identities are fulfilled since composition, and whiskering, of 2-cells in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ are composed, and whiskered, componently as in Cat.

□

3.2 Adjoint Objects in $Mnd(Cat)$

As in the previous section, we give a detailed account of *adjoint objects* in the 2-category $Mnd(Cat)$.

Definition 3.2.1 *An* adjoint object *in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ *is comprised of the following items:*

1. A pair of 1-cells,

$$\begin{aligned}(J,\psi^J) &: (\mathcal{C},S)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{D},T)\,,\\ (K,\psi^K) &: (\mathcal{D},T)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{C},S)\,.\end{aligned}$$

2. A pair of 2-cells, the unit *and the* counit *of the adjoint object*

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^{KJ} &: (1_{\mathcal{C}},1_S)\longrightarrow(KJ,K\psi^J\circ\psi^KJ):(\mathcal{C},S)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{C},S)\\ \varepsilon^{JK} &: (JK,J\psi^K\circ\psi^JK)\longrightarrow(1_{\mathcal{D}},1_{_T}):(\mathcal{D},T)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{D},T)\end{aligned}$$

such that they fulfill the triangular identities

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{JK}J\circ J\eta^{KJ} &= 1_{_J}\\ K\varepsilon^{JK}\circ\eta^{KJ}K &= 1_{_K}\end{aligned}$$

This type of object can be characterised by Theorem 3.13 in [2]. We restate and prove it again within the context of this article.

Proposition 3.2.2 *Consider the following morphism in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$

$$(\mathcal{C},S)\xrightarrow{(J,\psi^J)}(\mathcal{D},T) \tag{8}$$

and $K:\mathcal{D}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}$ *such that* $J\dashv K$*, then the following assertions are equivalent:*

i) The functor K *can be extended to a morphism in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$*,* (K,ψ^K)*. In turn, the adjunction* $J\dashv K$ *can be extended to an adjoint object in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$*,*

$$(J,\psi^J)\dashv(K,\psi^K)$$

ii) ψ^J *is invertible.*

in such case, $\psi^K=ad(\psi^J)$*.*

Proof:

$i\Rightarrow ii.$

The definition of the inverse $\overline{\psi}^J$ goes as follows

$$\overline{\psi}^J:=\varepsilon^{JK}TJ\circ J\psi^KJ\circ JS\eta^{KJ}$$

We prove the following composition $\psi^J \circ \overline{\psi}^J = 1_{JS}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^J \circ \overline{\psi}^J &= \psi^J \circ \varepsilon^{JK} TJ \circ J\psi^K J \circ JS\eta^{KJ} \\
&= \varepsilon^{JK} JS \circ JK\psi^J \circ J\psi^K J \circ JS\eta^{KJ} \\
&= \varepsilon^{JK} JS \circ J\eta^{KJ} S \\
&= 1_{JS}
\end{aligned}$$

In the third equality, we used the fact that η^{KJ} is a 2-cell in the 2-category $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. In the fourth one, the triangular identity associated to J. The case $\overline{\psi}^J \circ \psi^J = 1_{TJ}$ is done similarly.

$ii \Rightarrow i$.

Suppose that ψ^J has an inverse, then ψ^K is defined as follows

$$\psi^K := KT\varepsilon^{JK} \circ K\overline{\psi}^J K \circ \eta^{KJ} SK$$

We prove first, that $(K, \psi^K) : (\mathcal{D}, T) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}, S)$ is a morphism in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. In order to do so, we prove the compatibility with the products, *i.e.* $\psi^K \circ \mu^S K = K\mu^T \circ \psi^K T \circ S\psi^K$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^K \circ \mu^S K &= KT\varepsilon^{JK} \circ K\overline{\psi}^J K \circ \eta^{KJ} SK \circ \mu^S K \\
&= KT\varepsilon^{JK} \circ K\mu^T JK \circ KT\overline{\psi}^J K \circ K\overline{\psi}^J SK \circ \eta^{KJ} SSK \\
&= K\mu^T \circ KTT\varepsilon^{JK} \circ KT\overline{\psi}^J K \circ KT\varepsilon^{KJ} JSK \circ KTJ\eta^{KJ} SK \circ K\overline{\psi}^J SK \circ \eta^{KJ} SSK \\
&= K\mu^T \circ KT\varepsilon^{KJ} T \circ K\overline{\psi}^J KT \circ \eta^{KJ} SKT \circ SKT\varepsilon^{JK} \circ SK\overline{\psi}^J K \circ S\eta^{KJ} SK \\
&= K\mu^T \circ \psi^K T \circ S\psi^K.
\end{aligned}$$

If $(J, \psi^J) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$ is a morphism in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$, then $(J, \overline{\psi}^J) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$ is a morphism in $Mnd^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{C})$. In particular, $\overline{\psi}^J \circ J\mu^S = \mu^T J \circ T\overline{\psi}^J \circ \overline{\psi}^J S$. This compatibility was used for the second equality. In the fifth equality, we used the J triangular identity and the definition of ψ^K. The compatibility of the units is left to the reader.

Next, we prove that $\eta^{KJ} : (1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_S) \longrightarrow (KJ, K\psi^J \circ \psi^K J) : (\mathcal{C}, S) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}, S)$, is a 2-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$, *i.e.* we require that the following equality takes place $K\psi^J \circ \psi^K J \circ S\eta^{KJ} = \eta^{KJ} S$

$$\begin{aligned}
K\psi^J \circ \psi^K J \circ S\eta^{KJ} &= K\psi^J \circ KT\varepsilon^{JK} J \circ K\overline{\psi}^J KJ \circ \eta^{KJ} SKJ \circ S\eta^{KJ} \\
&= K\psi^J \circ KT\varepsilon^{JK} J \circ KTJ\eta^{KJ} \circ K\overline{\psi}^J \circ \eta^{KJ} S \\
&= K\psi^J \circ K\overline{\psi}^J \circ \eta^{KJ} S \\
&= \eta^{KJ} S
\end{aligned}$$

In the third equality, we used the triangular identity associated to J.

Likewise, $\varepsilon^{JK} : (JK, J\psi^K \circ \psi^J K) \longrightarrow (1_{\mathcal{D}}, 1_T) : (\mathcal{D}, T) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$ is a 2-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. Since the composition of 2-cells in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$, and the whiskering, is done as in the subjacent 2-category Cat, then the triangular identities are fulfilled.

□

Note: As mentioned above, the *lift of an adjunction*, as in Example 3.12 in [2], corresponds to the adjoint objects in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. For example, conditions 3a-3d correspond to G and V being morphisms, along with ζ and ξ, in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ and 3e-3f are the requirements for the unit and counit (h, e) being 2-cells in the same 2-category.

We can combine the results on adjoint objects using the 2-adjunction $\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}} \dashv \Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}$. Take the 0-cells $F^S \dashv U^S$ in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ and $(\mathcal{D}, T)$ in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. Therefore, there exists an isomorphism of categories, natural in $F^S \dashv U^S$ and $(\mathcal{D}, T)$

$$Hom_{Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})}\big(F^S \dashv U^S, \Psi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(\mathcal{D}, T)\big) \cong Hom_{Mnd(\mathfrak{C})}(\Phi_E^{\mathfrak{M}}(F^S \dashv U^S), (\mathcal{D}, T))$$

in particular, there is a bijection between adjoint objects inside each category. If we take into account the proofs of Proposition 3.1.2 and Proposition 3.2.2 and the previous isomorphism of categories, we are left, without any need of a proof, with the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.2.3 *The following statements are equivalent*

i) Adjoint objects in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ of the form

$$(\mathcal{C}, S) \underset{(J,\, \psi^J)}{\overset{(K,\, \psi^K)}{\rightleftarrows}} (\mathcal{D}, T)$$

ii) Adjoint objects in $Adj_R(\mathfrak{C})$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \underset{J}{\overset{K}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathcal{D} \\ {\scriptstyle F^S}\downarrow\uparrow{\scriptstyle U^S} & & {\scriptstyle F^T}\downarrow\uparrow{\scriptstyle U^T} \\ \mathcal{C}^S & \underset{\widehat{J}}{\overset{\widehat{K}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathcal{D}^T \end{array}$$

iii) Invertibility of the natural transformation $\psi^J : TJ \longrightarrow JS$.

iv) Invertibility of the natural transformation $\lambda^{J\widehat{J}} : F^T J \longrightarrow \widehat{J} F^S$.

□

4 Parametric Adjunctions

The objective of this section is to characterise the lifting of a parametric adjunction.

4.1 Lifting Parametric Adjunctions

In order to achive the intended objective, we recall the definition of a parametric adjunction and a theorem that characterizes them, [7].

Definition 4.1.1 *Consider the following categories $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. A* parametric adjunction*, by $\mathcal{P}$, is a pair of functors of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} F &: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \\ G &: \mathcal{P}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

such that for any P in $\mathcal{P}$, $F_P \dashv G_P$ and for $p : P \longrightarrow Q$, we have a conjugate morphism of adjunctions $\widetilde{p} : F_P \dashv G_P \longrightarrow F_Q \dashv G_Q$. This parametric adjunction can be denoted as $F \dashv_{\mathcal{P}} G$.

Theorem 4.1.2 *Suppose that there exists a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that for every P in $\mathcal{P}$ there is an adjunction*

$$\mathcal{C} \underset{F_P}{\overset{G_P}{\leftarrow\!\!\rightarrow}} \mathcal{D}$$

for a functor $G_{_P} : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, exists a unique $G : \mathcal{P}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that for P and $p^{op} : P \longrightarrow P'$, in $\mathcal{P}^{op}$

$$G(P, -) := G_{_P}$$

and the natural transformation

$$G(p^{op}, -) : G(P, -) \longrightarrow G(P', -)$$

has the form

$$G(p^{op}, -) = G_{_{P'}} \varepsilon^{FGP} \circ G_{_{P'}} F(p, -) G_{_P} \circ \eta^{GFP'} G_{_P}$$

□

Suppose given a parametric adjunction of the form

$$\begin{aligned} J &: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \\ K &: \mathcal{P}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

The objective is to lift this parametric adjunction to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \widehat{J} & : & \mathcal{C}^S \times \mathcal{P}^E \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^T \\ \widehat{K} & : & (\mathcal{P}^E)^{op} \times \mathcal{D}^T \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^S \end{array}$$

where $(\mathcal{C}, S)$, $(\mathcal{D}, T)$ and $(\mathcal{P}, E)$ are objects in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$.

The lifting of the functor J can be done through the following 1-cell in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$, $(J, \psi^J) : (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{P}, S \times E) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$, where $\psi^J : TJ \longrightarrow J(S \times E)$. Instead of this, we have to use a similar bijection as in Proposition 1.5.1, in order to obtain a 1-cell

$$(J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E), J(S \times U^E \varepsilon^{UFE}) \circ \psi^J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)) : (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{P}^E, S \times 1_*) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T) \tag{9}$$

in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. We rename the natural transformation as follows $\omega^J = J(S \times U^E \varepsilon^{UFE}) \circ \psi^J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)$. Later on, we will recover the initial lifting, associated to ψ^J, through this procedure.

On the other hand, we note a pair of things. The first one, since E is a monad on $\mathcal{P}$ then E^{op} is a comonad in $\mathcal{P}^{op}$. Second, $(\mathcal{P}^E)^{op} \cong (\mathcal{P}^{op})^{E^{op}}$. Therefore, we cannot propose a natural transformation $(K, \psi^K) : (\mathcal{P}^{op} \times \mathcal{D}, E^{op} \times T) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}, S)$, neither in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ or in $CoMnd(\mathfrak{C})$. This is due to the fact that the adjunction $F^{E^{op}} \dashv U^{E^{op}}$ has a different direction than the free-forgetful adjunctions related to T and S.

Therefore, we are going to induce a natural transformation

$$\omega^K : K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})(1_* \times T) \longrightarrow SK(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}}) : (\mathcal{P}^{op})^{E^{op}} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

through ω^J, as done in Theorem 4.1.2 where G is induced by F.

We observe that

$$J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)|_{(P,\, k_P)} \dashv K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})|_{(P,\, k_P^{op})}$$

Theorem 4.1.3 *Given a morphism $(J, \psi^J) : (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{P}, S \times E) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}, T)$ in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$. The following parametric adjunction*

$$J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)|_{(P,\, k_P)} \dashv K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})|_{(P,\, k_P^{op})}$$

lifts to an adjunction

$$\left(J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)|_{(P,\, k_P)}\right)^{\omega|_{(P,\, k_P)}} \dashv \left(K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})|_{(P,\, k_P^{op})}\right)^{\upsilon_{(P,\, k_P^{op})}}$$

if and only if the natural transformation

$$\omega^J|_{(P,\,k_P)}$$

has an inverse. In this case, $\upsilon_{(P,\,k_P^{op})} = ad(\omega^J|_{(P,\,k_P)})$

Proof:

According to the Proposition 3.2.2 above or Theorem 3.13 in [2], in order to have a right adjoint for the morphism $(J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)|_{(P,\,k_P)}, \omega^J|_{(P,\,k_P)})$ in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$, whose underlying functor is $K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})|_{(P,\,k_P^{op})}$, the following natural transformation has to have an inverse



This gives the corresponding adjoint object.

□

Corollary 4.1.4 *The natural transformation* $\upsilon_{(P,\,k_P^{op})}$ *can be extended uniquely to*

$$\omega^K : SK(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}}) \longrightarrow K(U^{E^{op}} \times T) : (\mathcal{P}^E)^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

i.e. $\omega^K|_{(P,\,k_P^{op})} = \upsilon_{(P,\,k_P^{op})}$. *Furthermore,* $(K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}}),\, \omega^K) : ((\mathcal{P}^E)^{op} \times \mathcal{D}, 1_* \times T) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{C}, S)$ *is a 1-cell in* $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$.

□

Note: We can check, first that

$$(J(1_{\mathcal{C}} \times U^E)|_{(P,\,k_P)})^{\omega|_{(P,\,k_P)}} = J^{\psi}|_{(P,\,k_P)}$$

which tell us that we can recover the initial lifting with this process, and second, for (N, k_N) in $\mathcal{D}^T$,

$$\big(K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})|_{(P,\,k_P^{op})}\big)^{\upsilon_{(P,\,k_P^{op})}}(N, k_N) = \big(K(P, N), K(P, k_N) \cdot \upsilon_{(P,\,k_P^{op})} N\big) \tag{10}$$

We make the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{J} &:= J^{\psi} : \mathcal{C}^S \times \mathcal{P}^E \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^T \\ \widehat{K} &= \big(K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})\big)^{\omega^K} : (\mathcal{P}^E)^{op} \times \mathcal{D}^T \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^S\end{aligned}$$

since for each (P, k_P) in $\mathcal{P}^E$

$$\widehat{J}_{(P,\, k_P)} \dashv \widehat{K}_{(P,\, k_P^{op})}$$

the parametric adjunction, $J \dashv_{\mathcal{P}} K$, has been lifted to $\widehat{J} \dashv_{\mathcal{P}^E} \widehat{K}$.

4.2 Antipode

Analogous to the bijection in Proposition 1.5.1, consider the natural transformation $\omega^K : SK(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}}) \longrightarrow K(U^{E^{op}} \times 1_{\mathcal{D}})(1_{\mathcal{P}^{op}} \times T)$ and whiskering it with the functor $F^{E^{op}} \times \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{P}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{op})^{E^{op}} \times \mathcal{D}$ and then compose it with the natural transformation $K(\varepsilon^{UFE^{op}} \times T) : K(E^{op} \times T) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{P}^{op} \times T)$ to get

$$\sigma := K(\varepsilon^{UFE^{op}} \times T) \circ \omega^K(F^{E^{op}} \times \mathcal{D}) : SK(E^{op} \times \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{P}^{op} \times T) : \mathcal{P}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

whose component at (Q, D), noting that $\varepsilon^{UFE^{op}} Q = (\eta^{FUE} Q)^{op} = (\eta^E Q)^{op}$, is

$$\sigma(Q, D) = K\big((\eta^E Q)^{op}, TD\big) \cdot \omega^K((EQ, (\mu^E Q)^{op}), D)$$

therefore, $\sigma(Q, D) : SK(EQ, D) \longrightarrow K(Q, TD)$.

In [2], A. Brugieres et. al. called this natural transformation *antipode* (left one). As pointed out by them, there is a bijection between the natural transformations ω^K and σ, where the inverse of the bijection acts on σ as follows

$$\iota := \sigma(U^{E^{op}} \times \mathcal{D}) \circ SK(\eta^{FUE^{op}} \times \mathcal{D}) : SK(U^{E^{op}} \times \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow K(U^{E^{op}} \times T)$$

whose component at the object $\big((P, k_P^{op}), D\big)$, noting that $\eta^{FUE^{op}}(P, k_P^{op}) = (\varepsilon^{FUE}(P, k_P))^{op} = (k_P)^{op}$, is

$$\iota\big((P, k_P^{op}), D\big) = \sigma(P, D) \cdot SK(k_P^{op}, D) : SK(P, D) \longrightarrow K(P, TD)$$

Reminiscent of the properties for ω^K, the properties that fulfills this antipode are the following

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\circ\mu^S K(E^{op}\times 1_{\mathcal{D}}) &= K(1_*\times\mu^T)\circ\sigma(1_*\times T)\circ S\sigma(E^{op}\times 1_{\mathcal{D}})\circ SSK((\mu^E)^{op}\times 1_{\mathcal{D}})\\ \sigma\circ\eta^S K(E^{op}\times 1_{\mathcal{D}}) &= K(\varepsilon^{UFE^{op}}\times\eta^T)=K((\eta^E)^{op}\times\eta^T)\end{aligned}$$

Compare this equations with Proposition 3.8 (b) in [2].

Taking into account the previous bijection for the antipode, the action of the lifted functor $\widehat{K}$ as in (10) can be written as

$$\widehat{K}\big((P,k_P^{op}),(N,k_N)\big)=(K(P,N),K(P,k_N)\cdot\sigma(P,N)\cdot SK(k_P^{op},N))$$

5 Hopf Monads

We mentioned in the introduction that in this article, among other things, we want to explore the 2-categorical aspects of Hopf monads as they were described in [2]. That is the reason of considering parametric adjoint objects in Cat.

Definition 5.1 *An opmonoidal monad, $((S,\xi,\iota),\mu^S,\eta^S)$ for the (strict) monoidal structure $(\mathcal{C},\otimes,I)$ is comprised of the following structure*

i) A pair of 1-cells in $Mnd(\mathfrak{C})$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}(\otimes,\xi) &: (\mathcal{C}\times\mathcal{C},S\times S)\longrightarrow(\mathcal{C},S)\\ (I,\iota) &: (\mathbf{1},1_{\mathbf{1}})\longrightarrow(\mathcal{C},S)\end{aligned}$$

where I is seen as a constant functor and $\mathbf{1}$ is the category with only one object.

The previous opmonoidal monad over a monoidal closed structure on $(\mathcal{C},\otimes,I)$ was investigated in [2]. If we apply the results above we can recover the lifted monoidal structure $(\mathcal{C},\widehat{\otimes},\widehat{I})$, [2], [6], [9],

$$\widehat{\otimes}\big((M,k_M),(N,k_N)\big)=\big(\otimes(M,N),\otimes(k_M,k_N)\cdot\xi(M,N)\big)$$

and for the functor, which gives the closure of $\otimes$ and denotated as, $\square:\mathcal{C}^{op}\times\mathcal{C}\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\square}\big((M,k_M^{op}),(N,k_N)\big) &= \big(\square(M,N),\square(M,k_N)\cdot\omega^K\big((M,k_M^{op}),N\big)\big)\\ &= (\square(M,N),\square(M,k_N)\cdot\sigma(M,N)\cdot S\,\square\,(k_M^{op},N))\end{aligned}$$

as expected, cf. Remark 3.7 in [2].

6 Discussion and further work

This article was intended to explore more examples in classic monad theory in order to prove, what we consider, the *relevance* of the 2-adjunctions of the type *Adj-Mnd*.

For the parametric adjunctions, there remains to check upon the adjunctions that corresponds to them. On the other hand, using the inherent duality, this procedure can be completed for the *extension* of a parametric adjunction for Kleisli algebras, as done for the extension of the monoidal structure in [6]. Because of shortage of time the author could not follow this outlined path.

Another possibility is to take the framework of *multivariable adjunctions* in [4] for further analysis using these 2-adjunctions.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank to the *Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología* (CONACYT) for financial support through the grant SNI-59154. Special thanks, for useful comments on this article, to T. Brzezinski, G. Böhm and B. Mesablishvili.

References

[1] BECK, J. *Distributive Laws*. Proceedings Seminar on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory 80 (1969), 119-140.

[2] BRUGUIERES, A.; VIRELIZIER, A. and LACK, S. *Hopf Monads on Monoidal Categories*. Adv. Math. 227, 2 (2011), 745-800.

[3] CHENG, E. *Iterated Distributive Laws*. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 150, 3 (2011), 459-487.

[4] CHENG, E.; GURSKI, N. and RIEHL, E. *Cyclic Multicategories, Multivariable Adjunctions and Mates*. Journal of K-Theory 13, 2 (2014), 337-396.

[5] CLIMENT VIDAL, J. and SOLIVERES TUR, J. *Kleisli and Eilenberg-Moore Constructions as Part of a Biadjoint Situation*. Extracta Math. 25, 1 (2010), 1-61.

[6] LOPEZ-HERNANDEZ, J.L.; TURCIO-CUEVAS, L.J. and VAZQUEZ-MARQUEZ, A. *Review on the Kleisli and Eilenberg-Moore 2-adjunctions*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6969 (2014).

[7] MACLANE, S. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. 2nd Ed. Vol. 5 of Grad. Texts in Math. Springer, 1998.

[8] MANES, E. and MULRY, P. *Monad Compositions I: General constructions and recursive distributive laws*. Theory Appl. Categ. 18, 7 (2007), 172-208.

[9] MOERDIJK, I. *Monads on Tensor Categories*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 168, 2-3 (2002), 189-208.

[10] STREET, R. *The Formal Theory of Monads*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 2, 2 (1972), 149-168.

[11] VAZQUEZ-MARQUEZ, A. *Monad and Comonad Objects through 2-adjunctions of the type Adj-Mnd*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.04724 (2015).